

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/759,534	01/16/2001	Hiroshi Ishizuka	1081.1103 (JDH)	2120
21171 STAAS & HAI	7590 01/17/2007 LSEY LLP		EXAM	INER .
SUITE 700			GART, MATTHEW S	
WASHINGTO	ORK AVENUE, N.W. N, DC 20005		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
•		•	3625	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
•			01/17/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

¥							
		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
1	Advisory Action	09/759,534	ISHIZUKA ET AL.				
	Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit				
		Matthew S. Gart	3625				
-	The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress			
THE	REPLY FILED 29 December 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THIS	S APPLICATION IN CONDITION F	OR ALLOWANCE.				
	The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliant time periods:	the same day as filing a Notice of ving replies: (1) an amendment, aff tice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in c	Appeal. To avoid aba idavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)			
a)	The period for reply expires <u>3</u> months from the mailing date		•				
b)	The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailin (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	g date of the final reject	ion.			
have under set fo may r	TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7 isions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ex 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the inth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office late reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) ICE OF APPEAL	on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig r than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The appropr inally set in the final Offi	iate extension fee ice action; or (2) as			
2.	The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed NDMENTS	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the	ns of the date of ne appeal. Since			
	The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,	but prior to the date of filing a brief,	will not be entered b	ecause			
	(a) They raise new issues that would require further co		TE below);				
	<ul> <li>(b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below);</li> <li>(c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for</li> </ul>						
	appeal; and/or	tter form for appear by materially re	aucing or simplifying	the issues for			
	(d) They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally rej	ected claims.				
	NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.1						
_	The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1		mpliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).			
5.			4:	4!: 46			
6. [_	non-allowable claim(s).	•	•	_			
7. 🔀	For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		II be entered and an o	explanation of			
	Claim(s) allowed:						
	Claim(s) objected to:						
	Claim(s) rejected: 3,4,12,14,16,20,22-26 and 28. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:						
<u>AFFI</u>	DAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE						
8. 🗌	The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).						
9. 🗀	The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe	al and/or appellant fa	ils to provide a			
	The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation	on of the status of the claims after e	ntry is below or attac	hed.			
	The request for reconsideration has been considered by See Continuation Sheet.	ut does NOT place the application is	n condition for allowa	nce because:			
	Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).  Other:		MATTHEW S. GART RIMARY EXAMINE	r R			

**TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3600** 

## Continuation Sheet (PTO-303)

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The proposed amendment would require a further evaluation of the patentability scope of the claims and raise new issues corresponding to scope interpretation.

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The Attorney argues that puri does not discuss a table indicative of a correspondance between the objects of use and necessary specifications. The Examiner notes, FIG. 5 of Puri is an illustration of a product choice page for the smart configurator of FIG. 1. This product choice page provides a list (table) of company and/or partner products. This page is presented automatically after the customers needs have been assessed and provides a list of products that most nearly fill the customer's needs. The product box may be manually checked, if desired, although the needs identification dialog typically results in an automatic product selection, which is identified by a check that is automatically placed in the box associated with the selected product (Puri: column 5, lines 25-35)...